

Motivation...

What we did. . .

Results. . .

Conclusions.

# Secondary content of the high energy cosmic ray electron spectrum

Jens Ruppel Ruhr–Universität Bochum

Meeting of working group:

Very high energy gamma rays, cosmic rays and neutrinos & hadronic AGN emission models

December 2008



Motivation...

What we did. . .

Results. . .

Conclusions. .

#### RUHR-UNIVERSITÄT BOCHUM

#### Motivation

#### *H.E.S.S.*–Paper:

#### The energy spectrum of cosmic-ray electrons at TeV energies

F. Aharonian<sup>1,13</sup>, A.G. Akhperianian<sup>2</sup>, U. Barres de Almeida<sup>8</sup>, \* A.R. Bazer-Bachi<sup>3</sup>, B. Behera<sup>14</sup>, W. Benbow<sup>1</sup>, K. Bernlöhr<sup>1,5</sup>, C. Boisson<sup>6</sup>, A. Bochow<sup>1</sup>, V. Borrel<sup>3</sup>, I. Braun<sup>1</sup>, E. Brion<sup>7</sup>, J. Brucker<sup>16</sup>, P. Brun<sup>7</sup>, R. Bühler<sup>1</sup>, T. Bulik<sup>24</sup>, I. Büsching<sup>9</sup>, T. Boutelier<sup>17</sup>, S. Carrigan<sup>1</sup>, P.M. Chadwick<sup>8</sup>, A. Charbonnier<sup>19</sup>, R.C.G. Chaves<sup>1</sup>, A. Cheesebrough<sup>8</sup>, L.-M. Chounet<sup>10</sup>, A.C. Clapson<sup>1</sup>, G, Coignet<sup>11</sup>, L. Costamante<sup>1,29</sup>, M. Dalton<sup>5</sup>, B. Degrange<sup>10</sup>, C. Deil<sup>1</sup>, H.J. Dickinson<sup>8</sup>, A. Diannati-Atai<sup>12</sup>, W. Domainko<sup>1</sup> LO'C, Drury<sup>13</sup>, F. Dubois<sup>11</sup>, G. Dubus<sup>17</sup>, J. Dvks<sup>24</sup>, M. Dvrda<sup>28</sup>, K. Egberts<sup>1</sup>, D. Emmanoulopoulos<sup>14</sup>, P. Espigat<sup>12</sup>, C. Farnier<sup>15</sup>, F. Feinstein<sup>15</sup>, A. Fiasson<sup>15</sup>, A. Förster<sup>1</sup>, G. Fontaine<sup>10</sup>, M. Füßling<sup>5</sup>, S. Gabici<sup>13</sup>, Y.A. Gallant<sup>15</sup>, L. Gérard<sup>12</sup>, B. Giebels<sup>10</sup> J.F. Glicenstein<sup>7</sup>, B. Glück<sup>16</sup>, P. Goret<sup>7</sup>, C. Hadiichristidis<sup>8</sup>, D. Hauser<sup>14</sup>, M. Hauser<sup>14</sup>, S. Heinz<sup>16</sup>, G. Heinzelmann<sup>4</sup>, G. Henri<sup>17</sup> G, Hermann<sup>1</sup>, J.A. Hinton<sup>25,‡</sup> A. Hoffmann<sup>18</sup>, W. Hofmann<sup>1</sup>, M. Holleran<sup>9</sup>, S. Hoppe<sup>1</sup>, D. Horns<sup>4</sup>, A. Jacholkowska<sup>10</sup>, O.C. de Jager<sup>0</sup>, I. Jung<sup>16</sup>, K. Katarzyński<sup>27</sup>, S. Kaufmann<sup>14</sup>, E. Kendziorra<sup>18</sup>, M. Kerschhaggl<sup>5</sup>, D. Khangulvan<sup>1</sup>, B. Khélifi<sup>10</sup>, D. Keogh<sup>8</sup> Nu, Komin<sup>15</sup>, K. Kosack<sup>1</sup>, G. Lamanna<sup>11</sup>, J.-P. Lenain<sup>6</sup>, T. Lohse<sup>5</sup>, V. Marandon<sup>12</sup>, J.M. Martin<sup>6</sup>, O. Martineau-Huvnh<sup>10</sup>, A. Marcowith<sup>15</sup>, D. Maurin<sup>19</sup>, T.J.L. McComb<sup>8</sup>, C. Medina<sup>6</sup>, R. Moderski<sup>24</sup>, E. Moulin<sup>7</sup>, M. Naumann-Godo<sup>10</sup>, M. de Naurois<sup>19</sup>, D. Nedbal<sup>20</sup>, D. Nekrassov<sup>1</sup>, J. Niemiec<sup>28</sup>, S.J. Nolan<sup>8</sup>, S. Ohm<sup>1</sup>, J-F. Olive<sup>3</sup>, E. de Oña Wilhelmi<sup>12</sup>, K.J. Orford<sup>8</sup>, J.L. Osborne<sup>8</sup> M. Ostrowski<sup>23</sup>, M. Panter<sup>1</sup>, G. Pedaletti<sup>14</sup>, G. Pelletier<sup>17</sup>, P.-O. Petrucci<sup>17</sup>, S. Pita<sup>12</sup>, G. Pühlhofer<sup>14</sup>, M. Punch<sup>12</sup>, A. Quirrenbach<sup>14</sup>, B.C. Raubenheimer<sup>9</sup>, M. Raue<sup>1,29</sup>, S.M. Ravner<sup>8</sup>, M. Renaud<sup>1</sup>, F. Rieger<sup>1,29</sup>, J. Ripken<sup>4</sup>, L. Rob<sup>20</sup>, S. Rosier-Lees<sup>11</sup>, G. Rowell<sup>26</sup>, B. Rudak<sup>24</sup>, C.B. Rulten<sup>8</sup>, J. Ruppel<sup>21</sup>, V. Sahakian<sup>2</sup>, A. Santangelo<sup>18</sup>, R. Schlickeiser<sup>21</sup>, F.M. Schöck<sup>16</sup>, R. Schröder<sup>21</sup>, U. Schwanke<sup>5</sup> S. Schwarzburg<sup>18</sup>, S. Schwemmer<sup>14</sup>, A. Shalchi<sup>21</sup>, J.L. Skilton<sup>25</sup>, H. Sol<sup>6</sup>, D. Spangler<sup>8</sup>, L. Stawarz<sup>23</sup>, R. Steenkamp<sup>22</sup>, C. Stegmann<sup>16</sup> G. Superina<sup>10</sup>, P.H. Tam<sup>14</sup>, J.-P. Tavernet<sup>19</sup>, R. Terrier<sup>12</sup>, O. Tibolla<sup>14</sup>, C. van Eldik<sup>1</sup>, G. Vasileiadis<sup>15</sup>, C. Venter<sup>9</sup>, J.P. Vialle<sup>11</sup>, P. Vincent<sup>19</sup>, M. Vivier<sup>7</sup>, H.J. Völk<sup>1</sup>, F. Volpe<sup>10,29</sup>, S.J. Wagner<sup>14</sup>, M. Ward<sup>8</sup>, A.A. Zdziarski<sup>24</sup>, and A. Zech<sup>6</sup>



Results. . .

Conclusions.

## Measuring Cosmic Ray Electrons



arxiv.org/abs/0811.3894v1



only?  $\rightarrow$  *Difficult!* 

How to measure CR electron flux

## Measuring Cosmic Ray Electrons



arxiv.org/abs/0811.3894v1

Secondary Electrons

Motivation... What we did... Results...

Conclusions.

### Measuring Cosmic Ray Electrons



## Measuring Cosmic Ray Electrons



arxiv.org/abs/0811.3894v1

did. . .

## Measuring Cosmic Ray Electrons



# Secondary Electrons Motivation...

did. . .

## Measuring Cosmic Ray Electrons



#### Questions:



## Measuring Cosmic Ray Electrons



#### Questions:

Secondary electron fraction?



## Measuring Cosmic Ray Electrons



#### Questions:

- Secondary electron fraction?
- What can we learn from this measurement?



Motivation...

What we did. . .

Results. . .

Conclusions.

RUHR-UNIVERSITÄT BOCHUM

How to find out...

Kelner, Aharonian, Bugayov (2006)

Simulation of p-p interaction with SIBYLL & QGSJET



Motivation...

What we did. . .

Results. . .

Conclusions. .

How to find out...

- Simulation of p-p interaction with SIBYLL & QGSJET
- Fit of analytical function  $F_{\pi}(x_E, E_p)$  to simulated  $\pi$  and  $\eta$ -spectra



Motivation...

What we did...

Results. . .

Conclusions. .

## How to find out...

- Simulation of p-p interaction with SIBYLL & QGSJET
- Fit of analytical function  $F_{\pi}(x_E, E_p)$  to simulated  $\pi$  and  $\eta$ -spectra
- Error < 10% for  $0.1 \,\mathrm{TeV} < E_p < 10^5 \,\mathrm{TeV}$



Motivation...

What we did. . .

Results. . .

Conclusions.

## How to find out...

- Simulation of p-p interaction with SIBYLL & QGSJET
- Fit of analytical function  $F_{\pi}(x_E, E_p)$  to simulated  $\pi$  and  $\eta$ -spectra
- Error < 10% for  $0.1 \,\mathrm{TeV} < E_p < 10^5 \,\mathrm{TeV}$
- Numerical calculation of secondary spectra ( $\gamma$ ,  $\nu$ ,  $e^{\pm}$ , ...)



Motivation...

What we did. . .

Results. . .

Conclusions.

## How to find out...

- Simulation of p-p interaction with SIBYLL & QGSJET
- Fit of analytical function  $F_{\pi}(x_E, E_p)$  to simulated  $\pi$  and  $\eta$ -spectra
- Error < 10% for  $0.1 \,\mathrm{TeV} < E_p < 10^5 \,\mathrm{TeV}$
- Numerical calculation of secondary spectra ( $\gamma$ ,  $\nu$ ,  $e^{\pm}$ , ...)
- Advantage of analytical approximation:



Motivation...

What we did. . .

Results. . .

Conclusions.

## How to find out...

- Simulation of p-p interaction with SIBYLL & QGSJET
- Fit of analytical function  $F_{\pi}(x_E, E_p)$  to simulated  $\pi$  and  $\eta$ -spectra
- Error < 10% for  $0.1 \,\mathrm{TeV} < E_p < 10^5 \,\mathrm{TeV}$
- Numerical calculation of secondary spectra ( $\gamma$ ,  $\nu$ ,  $e^{\pm}$ , ...)
- Advantage of analytical approximation:
  - Less CPU time



Motivation...

What we did. . .

Results. . .

Conclusions.

## How to find out...

- Simulation of p-p interaction with SIBYLL & QGSJET
- Fit of analytical function  $F_{\pi}(x_E, E_p)$  to simulated  $\pi$  and  $\eta$ -spectra
- Error < 10% for  $0.1 \,\mathrm{TeV} < E_p < 10^5 \,\mathrm{TeV}$
- Numerical calculation of secondary spectra ( $\gamma$ ,  $\nu$ ,  $e^{\pm}$ , ...)
- Advantage of analytical approximation:
  - Less CPU time
  - Better understanding of secondary characteristics



Motivation...

What we did. . .

Results. . .

Conclusions.

## How to find out...

- Simulation of p-p interaction with SIBYLL & QGSJET
- Fit of analytical function  $F_{\pi}(x_E, E_p)$  to simulated  $\pi$  and  $\eta$ -spectra
- Error < 10% for  $0.1 \,\mathrm{TeV} < E_p < 10^5 \,\mathrm{TeV}$
- Numerical calculation of secondary spectra ( $\gamma$ ,  $\nu$ ,  $e^{\pm}$ , ...)
- Advantage of analytical approximation:
  - Less CPU time
  - Better understanding of secondary characteristics
- Recently: p- $\gamma$  interaction (Kelner, Aharonian (2008))



Motivation...

What we did. . .

Results. . .

Conclusions.

## How to find out...

#### Kelner, Aharonian, Bugayov (2006)

- Simulation of p-p interaction with SIBYLL & QGSJET
- Fit of analytical function  $F_{\pi}(x_E, E_p)$  to simulated  $\pi$  and  $\eta$ -spectra
- Error < 10% for  $0.1 \,\mathrm{TeV} < E_p < 10^5 \,\mathrm{TeV}$
- Numerical calculation of secondary spectra ( $\gamma$ ,  $\nu$ ,  $e^{\pm}$ , ...)
- Advantage of analytical approximation:
  - Less CPU time
  - Better understanding of secondary characteristics
- Recently: p-γ interaction (Kelner, Aharonian (2008))

Input: Proton spectrum, cross section, ...



Motivation...

What we did. . .

Results. . .

Conclusions.

## How to find out...

#### Kelner, Aharonian, Bugayov (2006)

- Simulation of p-p interaction with SIBYLL & QGSJET
- Fit of analytical function  $F_{\pi}(x_E, E_p)$  to simulated  $\pi$  and  $\eta$ -spectra
- Error < 10% for  $0.1 \,\mathrm{TeV} < E_p < 10^5 \,\mathrm{TeV}$
- Numerical calculation of secondary spectra ( $\gamma$ ,  $\nu$ ,  $e^{\pm}$ , ...)
- Advantage of analytical approximation:
  - Less CPU time
  - Better understanding of secondary characteristics
- Recently: p-γ interaction (Kelner, Aharonian (2008))

**Input:** Proton spectrum, cross section, ... **Output:** Secondary spectrum



Motivation. .

What we did. . .

Results. . .

Conclusions.

RUHR-UNIVERSITÄT BOCHUM

## Cosmic Ray Electron Source Spectrum I

#### Input (following KAB2006):



Motivation. .

What we did...

Results. . .

Conclusions.

**RUHR-UNIVERSITÄT BOCHUM** 

## Cosmic Ray Electron Source Spectrum I

#### Input (following KAB2006):

Hadron Spectrum for  $E < 4.4 \cdot 10^{15} \text{ eV}$  (Antoni et al. 2004):



Motivation. .

What we did. . .

Results. . .

Conclusions. .

## Cosmic Ray Electron Source Spectrum I

#### Input (following KAB2006):

Hadron Spectrum for  $E < 4.4 \cdot 10^{15} \text{ eV}$  (Antoni et al. 2004):  $J(\vec{r}_{\odot}, \gamma_h) = N_0(\vec{r}_{\odot}) H(\gamma_h) \text{ with } [J] = \text{cm}^{-3} \text{ eV}^{-1}$ 



Motivation...

What we did. . .

Results. . .

Conclusions. .

## Cosmic Ray Electron Source Spectrum I

#### Input (following KAB2006):

Hadron Spectrum for  $E < 4.4 \cdot 10^{15} \text{ eV}$  (Antoni et al. 2004):  $J(\vec{r}_{\odot}, \gamma_h) = N_0(\vec{r}_{\odot}) H(\gamma_h)$  with  $[J] = \text{cm}^{-3} \text{ eV}^{-1}$ where  $H(\gamma_h) = \gamma_h^{-2.78}$  $N_0(\vec{r}_{\odot}) = \frac{4\pi}{c} \left(\frac{3.44 \cdot 10^{16}}{\text{cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ sr}^{-1} \text{ eV}^{-1}}\right) \left(\frac{m_p c^2}{\text{ eV}}\right)^{-2.78}$ 



Motivation...

What we did. . .

Results. . .

Conclusions. .

## Cosmic Ray Electron Source Spectrum I

#### Input (following KAB2006):

Hadron Spectrum for  $E < 4.4 \cdot 10^{15} \text{ eV}$  (Antoni et al. 2004):  $J(\vec{r}_{\odot}, \gamma_h) = N_0(\vec{r}_{\odot}) H(\gamma_h)$  with  $[J] = \text{cm}^{-3} \text{ eV}^{-1}$ where  $H(\gamma_h) = \gamma_h^{-2.78}$  $N_0(\vec{r}_{\odot}) = \frac{4\pi}{c} \left(\frac{3.44 \cdot 10^{16}}{\text{cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ sr}^{-1} \text{ eV}^{-1}}\right) \left(\frac{m_p c^2}{\text{ eV}}\right)^{-2.78}$ 

• Energies beyond the knee:  $H(\gamma_h) \propto \gamma_h^{-3.14}$  (Watson 1991)



Motivation...

What we did. . .

Results. . .

Conclusions. .

## Cosmic Ray Electron Source Spectrum I

#### Input (following KAB2006):

Hadron Spectrum for  $E < 4.4 \cdot 10^{15} \text{ eV}$  (Antoni et al. 2004):  $J(\vec{r}_{\odot}, \gamma_h) = N_0(\vec{r}_{\odot}) H(\gamma_h)$  with  $[J] = \text{cm}^{-3} \text{ eV}^{-1}$ where  $H(\gamma_h) = \gamma_h^{-2.78}$  $N_0(\vec{r}_{\odot}) = \frac{4\pi}{c} \left(\frac{3.44 \cdot 10^{16}}{\text{cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ sr}^{-1} \text{ eV}^{-1}}\right) \left(\frac{m_p c^2}{\text{ eV}}\right)^{-2.78}$ 

• Energies beyond the knee:  $H(\gamma_h) \propto \gamma_h^{-3.14}$  (Watson 1991)

Hadron-Hadron Cross Section:



Motivation...

What we did. . .

Results. . .

Conclusions. .

Н

## Cosmic Ray Electron Source Spectrum I

#### Input (following KAB2006):

Hadron Spectrum for  $E < 4.4 \cdot 10^{15} \text{ eV}$  (Antoni et al. 2004):  $J(\vec{r}_{\odot}, \gamma_h) = N_0(\vec{r}_{\odot}) H(\gamma_h)$  with  $[J] = \text{cm}^{-3} \text{ eV}^{-1}$ where  $H(\gamma_h) = \gamma_h^{-2.78}$  $N_0(\vec{r}_{\odot}) = \frac{4\pi}{c} \left(\frac{3.44 \cdot 10^{16}}{\text{cm}^{-2} \text{ s}^{-1} \text{ sr}^{-1} \text{ eV}^{-1}}\right) \left(\frac{m_p c^2}{\text{ eV}}\right)^{-2.78}$ 

• Energies beyond the knee:  $H(\gamma_h) \propto \gamma_h^{-3.14}$  (Watson 1991)

ladron–Hadron Cross Section:  

$$\sigma_{hh}^{\pi}(\gamma_h) = (34.3 + 1.88L + 0.25L^2) \left[ 1 - \left(\frac{E_{th}}{E_h}\right)^4 \right]^2 \text{ mb}$$
with  $L = \ln(E_h/\text{TeV})$ ;  $E_{th} = 1.22 \text{ GeV}$ 



Motivation. .

What we did...

Results. . .

Conclusions.

## Cosmic Ray Electron Source Spectrum II

Interstellar gas density:

$$n(\vec{r}) = (1+x) n_H(\vec{r})$$
 with  $x = n_e/n_H$ 



Motivation. .

What we did. . .

Results. . .

Conclusions.

Cosmic Ray Electron Source Spectrum II

Interstellar gas density:

$$n(\vec{r}) = (1+x) n_H(\vec{r})$$
 with  $x = n_e/n_H$ 

Transfer Function For Secondary Electrons:

$$F_{e}(x_{E}, E_{h}) = B_{e} \frac{\left(1 + k_{e} \left(\ln x_{E}\right)^{2}\right)^{3}}{x_{E} \left(1 + 0.3 x_{E}^{-\beta_{e}}\right)} \left(-\ln x_{E}\right)^{2}$$



Motivation. .

What we did. . .

Results. . .

Conclusions.

Cosmic Ray Electron Source Spectrum II

Interstellar gas density:

$$n(\vec{r}) = (1+x) n_H(\vec{r})$$
 with  $x = n_e/n_H$ 

Transfer Function For Secondary Electrons:

$$F_e(x_E, E_h) = B_e \frac{\left(1 + k_e \left(\ln x_E\right)^2\right)^3}{x_E \left(1 + 0.3 x_E^{-\beta_e}\right)} \left(-\ln x_E\right)^5$$
  
mit  $B_e, \beta_e, k_e = f \left(\ln \left(E_h/1 \text{TeV}\right)\right)$   
 $x_E = E_e/E_h$   
 $E_h = const.$ 



Motivation. .

What we did. . .

Results. . .

Conclusions. .

Cosmic Ray Electron Source Spectrum II

Interstellar gas density:

$$n(\vec{r}) = (1+x) n_H(\vec{r})$$
 with  $x = n_e/n_H$ 

Transfer Function For Secondary Electrons:

$$F_e(x_E, E_h) = B_e \frac{\left(1 + k_e \left(\ln x_E\right)^2\right)^3}{x_E \left(1 + 0.3 x_E^{-\beta_e}\right)} \left(-\ln x_E\right)^E}$$
  
mit  $B_e, \beta_e, k_e = f \left(\ln \left(E_h/1\text{TeV}\right)\right)$   
 $x_E = E_e/E_h$   
 $E_h = const.$ 

$$\mathsf{Q}(\vec{r},\gamma) = 1.26\,\mathsf{N}_0(\vec{r}_\odot)\,\mathsf{n}(\vec{r})\,\mathsf{c}\int\limits_{\gamma}^{\infty}\frac{\mathsf{d}\gamma_\mathsf{h}}{\gamma_\mathsf{h}}\,\mathsf{F}_\mathsf{e}(\gamma,\gamma_\mathsf{h})\,\mathsf{H}(\gamma_\mathsf{h})\,\sigma_\mathsf{hh}^{\pi}(\gamma_\mathsf{h})$$

RUB, TPIV



Motivation. .

What we did. . .

Results. . .

Conclusions. .

Cosmic Ray Electron Source Spectrum II

Interstellar gas density:

$$n(\vec{r}) = (1+x) n_H(\vec{r})$$
 with  $x = n_e/n_H$ 

Transfer Function For Secondary Electrons:

$$F_e(x_E, E_h) = B_e \frac{\left(1 + k_e \left(\ln x_E\right)^2\right)^3}{x_E \left(1 + 0.3 x_E^{-\beta_e}\right)} \left(-\ln x_E\right)^E}$$
  
mit  $B_e, \beta_e, k_e = f \left(\ln \left(E_h/1\text{TeV}\right)\right)$   
 $x_E = E_e/E_h$   
 $E_h = const.$ 

$$\mathsf{Q}(\vec{r},\gamma) = 1.26\,\mathsf{N}_0(\vec{r}_\odot)\,\mathsf{n}(\vec{r})\,\mathsf{c}\int\limits_{\gamma}^{\infty}\frac{\mathsf{d}\gamma_\mathsf{h}}{\gamma_\mathsf{h}}\,\mathsf{F}_\mathsf{e}(\gamma,\gamma_\mathsf{h})\,\mathsf{H}(\gamma_\mathsf{h})\,\sigma_\mathsf{hh}^{\pi}(\gamma_\mathsf{h})$$

RUB, TPIV



Motivation. .

What we did. . .

Results. . .

Conclusions.

**RUHR-UNIVERSITÄT BOCHUM** 

## Energy Losses

Very-high energy cosmic ray electrons:  $\Rightarrow T_{\text{energy loss}} = \gamma / |\dot{\gamma}| << T_{\text{confinement}} \quad \text{(for } E \gtrsim 1 - 10 \text{ GeV}\text{)}$ 

RUB, TPIV



Motivation...

What we did. . .

Results. . .

Conclusions. .

## Energy Losses

Very-high energy cosmic ray electrons:  $\Rightarrow T_{\text{energy loss}} = \gamma / |\dot{\gamma}| << T_{\text{confinement}} \quad \text{(for } E \gtrsim 1 - 10 \text{ GeV)}$ 

 $\Rightarrow$  Galaxy  $\doteq$  thick target (Völk 1989)

## Energy Losses

Very-high energy cosmic ray electrons:  $\Rightarrow T_{\text{energy loss}} = \gamma / |\dot{\gamma}| << T_{\text{confinement}} \quad \text{(for } E \gtrsim 1 - 10 \text{ GeV)}$   $\Rightarrow \text{ Galaxy} \triangleq \text{thick target (Völk 1989)}$ Energy losses (taken from Pohl (1993)):  $|\dot{\gamma}| = a_0 [1 + a_1 \gamma + a_2 \gamma^2] \quad \text{where } [\dot{\gamma}] = \text{s}^{-1}$ 



Secondary Electrons

Motivation...

What we did. . .

Results. . .

Conclusions. .

## Energy Losses

Very-high energy cosmic ray electrons:  

$$\Rightarrow T_{\text{energy loss}} = \gamma / |\dot{\gamma}| << T_{\text{confinement}} \quad (\text{for } E \gtrsim 1 - 10 \text{ GeV})$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{Galaxy} \triangleq \text{thick target (Völk 1989)}$$
Energy losses (taken from Pohl (1993)):  

$$|\dot{\gamma}| = a_0[1 + a_1\gamma + a_2\gamma^2] \quad \text{where } [\dot{\gamma}] = \text{s}^{-1}$$
with  $a_0 = 36.2 c \sigma_T n_H \tau$   
 $a_1 = 1.4 \cdot 10^{-3} \eta \tau^{-1}$   
 $a_2 = 7.2 \cdot 10^{-8} \epsilon \tau^{-1} (U_{\text{mag}}/\text{eV cm}^{-3}) (n_H/\text{cm}^{-3})^{-1}$   
and  $\epsilon = 3/4 + U_{\text{rad}}/U_{\text{mag}}$   
 $\tau = 1 + 1.54 n_e/n_H$   
 $\eta = 1 + 0.95 n_e/n_H$ 

Secondary Electrons Motivation. . What we did. . . Results. . . Conclusions.



Motivation. .

What we did. . .

Results. . .

Conclusions.

$$\Rightarrow |\dot{\gamma}| = 7.22 \cdot 10^{-13} n_H(\vec{r}) \Big[ 1 + 1.54 x + 1.4 \cdot 10^{-3} (1 + 0.95 x) \gamma + 7.2 \cdot 10^{-8} \frac{W(\vec{r})}{n_H(\vec{r})} \gamma^2 \Big]$$
  
with  $W = 0.75 U_{\text{mag}} + U_{\text{rad}}$ 



Motivation. .

What we did. . .

Results. . .

Conclusions. .

$$\Rightarrow |\dot{\gamma}| = 7.22 \cdot 10^{-13} \, n_H(\vec{r}) \Big[ 1 + 1.54 \, x \\ + 1.4 \cdot 10^{-3} (1 + 0.95 \, x) \, \gamma + 7.2 \cdot 10^{-8} \, \frac{W(\vec{r})}{n_H(\vec{r})} \, \gamma^2 \Big]$$
with  $W = 0.75 \, H_{\odot} = 1.4 \, x$ 

with  $W=0.75~U_{
m mag}+U_{
m rad}$ 



Motivation.

What we did...

Results...

Conclusions. .

$$\Rightarrow |\dot{\gamma}| = 7.22 \cdot 10^{-13} \, n_H(\vec{r}) \Big[ 1 + 1.54 \, x \\ + 1.4 \cdot 10^{-3} (1 + 0.95 \, x) \, \gamma + 7.2 \cdot 10^{-8} \, \frac{W(\vec{r})}{n_H(\vec{r})} \, \gamma^2 \Big]$$

with  $W=0.75~U_{
m mag}+U_{
m rad}$ 

Differential Equilibrium Electron Density

$$\Rightarrow N(\vec{r},\gamma) = |\dot{\gamma}|^{-1} \int_{\gamma}^{\infty} du \, Q(\vec{r},u)$$



Motivation. .

What we did...

Results. . .

Conclusions. .

$$\Rightarrow |\dot{\gamma}| = 7.22 \cdot 10^{-13} \, n_H(\vec{r}) \Big[ 1 + 1.54 \, x \\ + 1.4 \cdot 10^{-3} (1 + 0.95 \, x) \, \gamma + 7.2 \cdot 10^{-8} \, \frac{W(\vec{r})}{n_H(\vec{r})} \, \gamma^2 \Big]$$

with  $W=0.75~U_{
m mag}+U_{
m rad}$ 

Differential Equilibrium Electron Density

$$\Rightarrow N(\vec{r},\gamma) = |\dot{\gamma}|^{-1} \int_{\gamma}^{\infty} du \, Q(\vec{r},u)$$
  
= 1.75 \cdot 10^{12} c N\_0(\vec{r}\_{\odot})(1+x) \Big[ 1 + 1.54 x  
+1.4 \cdot 10^{-3}(1+0.95 x)\gamma + 7.2 \cdot 10^{-8} \frac{W(\vec{r})}{n\_H(\vec{r})} \gamma^2 \Big]^{-1}  
\times \int\_{\gamma}^{\infty} du \int\_{u}^{\infty} \frac{d\gamma\_h}{\gamma\_h} F\_e(u,\gamma\_h) H(\gamma\_h) \sigma\_{hh}^{\pi}(\gamma\_h)



Motivation. .

What we did...

Results. . .

Conclusions. .

$$\Rightarrow |\dot{\gamma}| = 7.22 \cdot 10^{-13} \, n_H(\vec{r}) \Big[ 1 + 1.54 \, x \\ + 1.4 \cdot 10^{-3} (1 + 0.95 \, x) \, \gamma + 7.2 \cdot 10^{-8} \, \frac{W(\vec{r})}{n_H(\vec{r})} \, \gamma^2 \Big]$$

with  $W=0.75~U_{
m mag}+U_{
m rad}$ 

Differential Equilibrium Electron Density

$$\Rightarrow N(\vec{r},\gamma) = |\dot{\gamma}|^{-1} \int_{\gamma}^{\infty} du \, Q(\vec{r},u)$$

$$= 1.75 \cdot 10^{12} \, c \, N_0(\vec{r}_{\odot})(1+x) \Big[ 1 + 1.54 \, x \\ + 1.4 \cdot 10^{-3} (1 + 0.95 \, x)\gamma + 7.2 \cdot 10^{-8} \, \frac{W(\vec{r})}{n_H(\vec{r})} \, \gamma^2 \Big]^{-1}$$

$$\times \int_{\gamma}^{\infty} du \int_{u}^{\infty} \frac{d\gamma_h}{\gamma_h} \, F_e(u,\gamma_h) \, H(\gamma_h) \, \sigma_{hh}^{\pi}(\gamma_h)$$



### Cosmic Ray Electron Spectrum







#### RUB, TPIV

#### Jens Ruppel

#### Secondary Electrons









Results. . .

Conclusions...



#### Conclusions



► Cosmic ray electrons below 50 GeV might be secondaries.



Conclusions.

#### Conclusions



- ▶ Cosmic ray electrons below 50 GeV might be secondaries.
- Above this energy, the secondary fraction of the cosmic ray electrons is decreasing.



Conclusions...





- ► Cosmic ray electrons below 50 GeV might be secondaries.
- Above this energy, the secondary fraction of the cosmic ray electrons is decreasing.
- The energy density in local galactic photon fields is restricted to the range

$$1.05 \ \mathrm{eV cm^{-3}} \le U_{rad} \le 1.5 \ \mathrm{eV cm^{-3}}.$$



Conclusions...

### Conclusions



- $\blacktriangleright$  Cosmic ray electrons below 50 GeV might be secondaries.
- Above this energy, the secondary fraction of the cosmic ray electrons is decreasing.
- The energy density in local galactic photon fields is restricted to the range

$$1.05 \ \mathrm{eVcm^{-3}} \leq U_{rad} \leq 1.5 \ \mathrm{eVcm^{-3}}.$$

The observed excess of electrons above 50 GeV suggests the presence of a local source of primary cosmic ray electrons.



Results. . .

Conclusions.

#### Thanks for your attention!













#### Pamela vs. Other Data